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Foreword

This analysis considers passenger transport, encompassing the mobility of resident citizens including domestic and international air flights and non-motorized travel. Freight transport will be considered in future work.

The analysis starts from an acknowledgment that profound transformations of the passenger transport sector, that could deliver deep greenhouse gas emission reductions consistent with an ambitious climate goal, go beyond technological change. They require considering a more systemic approach to build decarbonization storylines, including key drivers like the evolution of demographic and economic situation, individual behaviours, lifestyles, infrastructures and spatial organization. The approach also recognizes the need to provide quantification of these storylines for key indicators characterizing mobility patterns such as distances travelled by trip purposes, by location of people, by modes or budget and time dedicated to transport activities. The methodology of the DDPP-T, adopted by all country research teams in the project, connects these two complementary approaches to long-term deep decarbonization analysis of the transport sector consistent with emission reductions computed in previous DDPP national scenarios.

The structure of the report reflects this approach. The key determinants of mobility are described by the storylines in the second section. These storylines are then translated into a quantitative sector-wide representation of the transport sector, which form the core of the third section. Finally, a sub-set of these indicators have been chosen as key quantitative metrics to engage stakeholders and decision makers, and are presented in the Annex.
Executive Summary

**National context**

In November 2015, France has adopted its first national climate mitigation strategy (SNBC), according to the Paris Agreement, to reduce greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions by 75% by 2050 compared to 1990. This strategy sets up three emission budgets for 2013-2018, 2018-2023 and 2023-2028 with sectoral targets. The transport sectoral target to be achieved for the third budget compared to 2013 is a reduction target of 29%, but target estimates for 2050 go well below down to about 70-90%, which means the transport sector is expected to achieve a deep decarbonization by 2050.

The transport sector is the main emitting sector and represented about 26% of total French GHG emissions in 2010. In our previous exercise, we developed deep decarbonization pathways for the whole national economy. However, this previous exercise did not allow enough granularity to understand the determinants of mobility and inform on key transformations of the transport sector. The exercise was mainly focused on the decarbonization of energy carriers with related energy-indicators, which are not sufficient to describe the evolution of the transport sector. During this new phase, the Deep Decarbonization Pathways Project – Transport group defined and used a common methodology to analyze and develop consistent scenarios for the transport sector with transport-specific indicators like distance travelled by trip purpose, by location of people, by mode or budget and time dedicated to transport.

**Two scenarios consistent with the 2°C target and SNBC objectives: MOB-F and TECH-F**

Based on this methodology, the French research team chose to develop two scenarios for the passenger transport sector consistent with the 2°C target and the SNBC objectives, reaching both a reduction of about 78% of CO₂ emissions compared to 1990, down to about 20 MtCO₂ in 2050. These two deep decarbonization pathways embody contrasting futures of the mobility demand, as well as the supply-side solutions that will be necessary. The Mobility-First scenario (MOB-F) emphasizes social, organizational and technical transformations of the mobility system “first” and then shows how technological innovations contribute to the decarbonization of this new mobility system. MOB-F scenario integrates a demand decreasing effect linked to population aging, the development of tele-activities and structural changes in terms of urban and transport planning in suburban areas oriented towards the development of better public transport and soft mode services.

Conversely, the Technology-First (TECH-F) scenario takes into account the current mobility trends describing an increasing demand and does not anticipate fundamental changes in the mobility system. Therefore, it emphasizes technological innovations “first” and

---

1 (2015). pathways to deep decarbonization in France, CIRED, EDDEN, IDDRI.
considers that low carbon technologies will provide an adequate solution for attaining climate mitigation objectives with an additional improvement of technological efficiency, the penetration of gas-powered cars and an extensive generation potential of liquid and gaseous biofuels.

The MOB-F and TECH-F scenarios have been inspired by the strategies developed for the National Debate on Energy Transition in 2012, respectively by EFF- and DIV-strategies.

**Transport Deep Decarbonization Pathways - Results**

Both scenarios require strong actions on the three pillars of decarbonization to reach over the period 2010-2050:

**Pillar 1 - Energy efficiency (MJ/cap):**
- MOB-F: -66% combining -19% for individual mobility (pkm/cap) and -58% for energy intensity (MJ/pkm)
- TECH-F: -45% combining +4% for individual mobility (pkm/cap) and -47% for energy intensity (MJ/pkm)

**Pillar 2 - Decarbonization of electricity and fuels:**
- MOB-F: -66% of carbon content of electricity and 25% of biofuels in blended fuels by 2050
- TECH-F: -66% of carbon content of electricity and 61% of biofuels in blended fuels by 2050 (liquid fuels and pipeline gas)

**Pillar 3 - Shifting to low carbon fuels:**
- MOB-F: 48% of non-fossil fuels in final energy by 2050
- TECH-F: 73% of non-fossil fuels in final energy by 2050
  (Electricity, liquid and gaseous biofuels)

We provide in the following some details about concrete transformations occurring in our scenarios. The average constrained distance travelled could be reduced in both scenarios by 6 – 27% compared to 2010 level, due to specific incentives on the organization of living places, the development of ICTs, the level of modal services and a structural aging effect. The modal share of cars could be reduced by 36% and the share of public transport and soft modes be increased by 42% in metropolitan areas in the MOB-F scenario due to specific incentives on modal speeds, modal costs and the level of services. The development of electric vehicles could represent about 57-63% of new sales by 2050 and CO₂ intensity of new cars could be reduced down to 21-35 "well-to-wheel" gCO₂ per vehicle-kilometer by 2050.

**Key challenges to raise the ambition for the decarbonization of the passenger transport**

To avoid lock-in situations, policy-makers must track the ongoing transformations by using key quantitative indicators to inform on the policy implications. This dynamic form of management should support the selection at the right moment of the "policies that preserve future freedom of choice, yielding high option-value"\(^2\). For this purpose, we need refined indicators to track the evolution of the transformations of the main determinants of mobility and related emissions drivers as proposed in the "Standardized DDPP-Transport graphics" in annex.

The debate about the decarbonization of the passenger transport sector should focus on the future organization of living spaces and on mobility functions for people beyond being a pure technological debate, even if low carbon technologies are very important for the sector’s decarbonization. National actions for deep decarbonization of the passenger transport sector should combine different strategies adapted to the different regions and urban contexts, the purpose of mobility and distances related to different systems of mobility.

In addition, a number of key questions emerged from this work: both scenarios integrate a high share of electric cars by 2050 and bring many questions around the development needs for charging points and related power generation and distribution infrastructures; The implementation of teleworking could concern more than 7 million workers but how to ensure this implementation; The transformations of suburban zones require significant reforms regarding land use, urban and transport policies; Other key technological issues emerged such as what should be the place for biofuels, the place for air travel, the development of autonomous vehicles; What is the place of fossil fuels by 2050 in the car mobility and air mobility, etc.

In the perspective of a "carbon neutral" economy, the passenger transport sector could be an important contributor to emissions reduction. A pathway combining MOB-F and TECH-F mitigation options could lead to a deeper decarbonized future. However, all measures and transformations considered are not independent and the development of an appropriate scenario for carbon neutrality would need further analysis to test the consistency of all assumptions based on the DDPP methodology.

\(^2\) (2015). pathways to deep decarbonization in France. CIRED, EDDEN, IDDRI.
Description of the passenger transport sector

This section describes the passenger mobility situation in 2010, the historical trends observed, the climate impact of mobility and the current national policy framework on mobility and climate.

**Situation and key features in 2010**

French citizens have a high individual and local mobility demand. Total distance travelled amounted to 889 Gpkm for 65 million inhabitants in 2010. This represented an average individual mobility of 13,700 pkm/cap compared to a global average of around 6,000 pkm/cap (IPCC, 2014). This total mobility breaks down as follows:

- Local mobility (less than 80 km) was mainly due to "constrained" activities and represented 60% of total distance travelled and about 98% of all trips in 2008 (ENTD, 2008).

**Figure 1. Schematic view of France, metropolitan areas and main road network in 2010**

Metropolitan areas ≈ 15 Million people (25% of the population)

Definition: metropolitan areas are displayed on this figure and include Paris, Departments of the Paris region 92-93-94 and all city centers of "urban poles" with more than 100 khab in 2008 (the smallest city center included is the city of Montbeliard). Country team defined the metropolitan areas based on a per capita basis and population density and similar transport features.
Constrained activities represented two thirds of total local mobility and 20% of long distance mobility in 2008. In total, constrained activities represented about 427 Gpkm and 48% of all distance travelled by passenger (ENTD, 2008).

Work-related activities account for the majority of the 427 Gpkm of total constrained mobility (ENTD, 2008). Commuting represents 44% of constrained distance travelled, while additional professional trips represent about 8%. Main other activities inducing mobility are: shopping trips for 12% of total constrained mobility and administrative trips for 4% of total constrained mobility. In total, these three activities represent about two third of total constrained mobility.

The modal structure shows a high share of car mobility. Car mobility represented 82% of total pkm in 2010. A particular characteristic of France is its long-established and important high speed rail (HSR) network. In 2010, about 53% of rail pkm were realized by HSR. (See Figure 2).

Most vehicles consume oil-based fuels. The transport sector (freight + passenger) was responsible for about one third of the total final energy consumption of France in 2010, representing the largest share in the consumption of petroleum products. The total energy consumed in 2010 by the passenger transport sector was about 1.2 EJ, more than 96% of which was gas and liquid oil-based fuels, with biofuels and electricity making up about 4% (See Figure 3). A particular characteristic of France is the high level of diesel cars, which represent 75% of the total car fleet (ADEME, 2013).

Historical trends over the 1990-2014 period

Total passenger mobility increased by around 0.9% per year over the period 1990-2014 from about 740 Gpkm in 1990. This increase occurred across all modes of transport. Collective public transport had the highest growth rates (See Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Modes</th>
<th>Trend over the period 1990-2014 (% of pkm)</th>
<th>Mobility value in 2014 (Gpkm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total mobility</td>
<td>+ 23%</td>
<td>907</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Car</td>
<td>+ 20%</td>
<td>721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Train</td>
<td>+ 38%</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bus and tramways</td>
<td>+ 34%</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air</td>
<td>+ 24%</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: CGDD, 2011 & 2016 (non-motorized modes and international aviation not included)
The share of passenger car mobility has slightly decreased but remained dominant with 79% in 2014 compared to 81% of total pkm in 1990. However, the number of vehicle kilometres (vkm) travelled has dramatically increased because the average occupancy rate has dropped from 1.78 in 1990 to 1.58 passenger per car in 2014.

The share of collective transport (bus + train) showed a slight increase from 17.1% to 19% but continued to represent a limited share. Rail transport has increased the most, with an increase of 38% pkm due mainly to the significant development of high-speed trains. France has the highest share of train-pkm out of all collective transport modes (air, train, bus) within the European Union.

Passenger mobility by local public transport, which mainly includes urban public transport modes (trams, subways, city buses) has exploded over the period with a rise in pkm of 44%. Interregional buses have steadily increased over the period and this trend could be strengthened with the liberalization in 2015 of the interregional bus market and the addition of more than 100 km of bus lanes.

The share of air travel remains stable at around 2% of total mobility. Air traffic increased over the 1990-2000 period and decreased over the 2000-2010 period. However from 2010, air traffic has started to grow again (average 2.3% per year). There has been competition between high-speed trains and domestic air traffic, with the former taking some of the market share previously held by the latter during the 2000-2010 period. However, the development of low-cost flights may reverse this trend in the coming decades.

Historical emissions and key features

Passenger and freight transportation represented about 26% of total French GHG emissions in 2010. Total GHG emissions from the transport sector reached 132 MtCO₂eq in 2010, making it the largest sectoral emitter. Out of the transport GHG emissions, CO₂ represents 95.5% of the total with a figure of 126 million tons of CO₂ (CGDD, 2011). In this study we focus only on CO₂ emissions, calculating that the passenger sector was responsible for emissions of 88 MtCO₂ in 2010.

Road transportation is the main contributor with about 94% of total transport CO₂ emissions. The passenger sector (passenger cars and light-duty vehicles (LDVs)) about 75% and the freight sector about 25% of CO₂ emissions from road transport. The average emission level of car sales was about 130 gCO₂/veh-km in 2010.

Domestic aviation represented about 4.7 MtCO₂eq in 2015 and international aviation (not included in national statistics) represented 16.7 MtCO₂eq in 2014.

Over the 1990-2015 period, GHG emissions from the transport sector have increased by 0.4% per year on average, while total French GHG emissions have decreased by 0.6% per year. Over the period 1990-2015, transport GHG emissions increased by 10% from about 121 to 135 MtCO₂eq according to the following phases (CGDD, Comptes des Transports, 2016):
- 1990-2004: +1.3% per year
- 2004-2010: -1.6% per year
- 2010-2015: +0.4% per year

A segmentation by modes gives the following trends:
- Road emissions grew 0.4%/year (1990-2015) and the 2W and LDV played the main roles with respectively 3.8% and 1.3% average annual growth (1990-2014).
- Rail emissions decreased 3.3%/year (1990-2015)
- Domestic aviation emissions grew 0.3%/year but international aviation emissions grew faster with 3.4%/year (1990-2015)

National policy framework on mobility and climate

In 2012, a National Debate on Energy Transition defined two main pillars for energy transition in France: the Factor Four – a 75% reduction in GHG emissions in 2050 compared to 1990 – and reducing the share of nuclear power in the electricity mix from 75% in 2015 to 50% in 2025. The National Debate Council of 2013 also identified two main structural features that would characterize France’s energy-transition pathways: the level of energy demand reduction in 2050 compared to 2010 and the level of diversification of the energy supply. This enabled the delineation of four scenarios, which provide a comparatively complete analysis of France’s possible energy futures, including “Efficiency” (EFF) and
"Diversity" (DIV) strategies. EFF strategies are characterized by low energy consumption and low diversification of energy supply and DIV by high energy consumption and high diversification of energy supply. In this study and in our previous work, these two groups have been used to inspire the creation of our new scenarios.

In July 2015, France adopted a new framework for long-term policies, the Law on Energy Transition for Green Growth (LTECV) that includes the two main pillars for energy transition. In particular, this law includes the development of the French Low Carbon Long Term Strategy (SNBC) published in November 2015 (Art. 170) and the development of the French Strategic plan for clean mobility (SDMP) published in October 2016 (Art. 40).

The SNBC defines the climate mitigation strategy needed to reduce total GHG emissions by 75% by 2050 compared to 1990. A 5-year revision process was set up in line with the Paris Agreement and the first revision will come earlier next year in 2018. For the transport sector (Freight + Passengers), the SNBC identified priority areas for decarbonization, along with a number of quantitative objectives for the passenger transport sector contribution over the period 2013–2028:

1. Stabilization of individual demand per unit of GDP
2. Increase of 10% in the occupancy rate of private cars
3. Modal shift from private cars and planes to public transport and soft modes: 2%
4. Energy consumed by motorized vehicles: -30% MJ/vkm
5. Carbon emissions due to energy consumption: -6% gCO₂/MJ

In addition, the SDMP described public actions to be implemented in the transport sector, according to the LTECV. The roadmap focuses on 6 main priority areas: demand management; development of low emission technology; development of low carbon fuels and deployment of production and distribution infrastructure; optimization of existing modal infrastructure and vehicles operations; shifting away from the most polluting modes towards the cleanest; and development of collaborative transport. These actions have to be consistent with the 2028 quantitative climate objectives of the SNBC (See Table 2).

Currently, the French government has launched a consultative discussion around passenger and last kilometer freight mobility to prepare a future mobility planning act during the first semester of 2018, along with the revision of the SNBC – Transport.

Table 2. The SNBC provided GHG emissions budgets related to the transport sector

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year or Period</th>
<th>Emission volume (MtCO₂eq/year)</th>
<th>Variation compared to 1990</th>
<th>Variation compared to 2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1990</td>
<td>121</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2013</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>+12%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2018 (1st budget)</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>+5%</td>
<td>-7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2023 (2nd budget)</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>-3%</td>
<td>-19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2028 (3rd budget)</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>-21%</td>
<td>-29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2050</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>-65%*</td>
<td>-69%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* The contribution of the transport sector to factor 4 has been estimated at a 65% reduction of annual emissions over the period 1990 – 2050 by the “Comité Trajectoire” in 2012 (8, 2015).
Storylines for the mobility determinants of two contrasting transition pathways: Mobility-First (MOB-F) and Technology-First (TECH-F)

This section describes the transformations of the major socio-economical, organizational and technical determinants of passenger mobility. These determinants interact and influence the emissions of the sector through complex dynamics that are analyzed in section 3. The purpose of this section is to examine the storylines and the social and technical assumptions related to the following determinants: demographic and economic structures, lifestyles related to the organization of living places and land use, infrastructure and the built environment, mobility service deployment, vehicle technologies, energy generation and distribution technologies. Compared to previous DDPP analyses, a specific effort was made to fully comprehend the underlying and sometimes implicit assumptions that underlie the resulting demand, modal structure, technology and energy transformation pathways.

These two deep decarbonization pathways define contrasting futures of the demand, along with the supply-side solutions that will be necessary to be consistent with the decarbonization objectives of the SNBC. The Mobility-First (MOB-F) scenario was inspired by EFF-strategies. This pathway emphasizes social, organizational and technical transformations of the mobility system “first” and then shows how technological innovations contribute to the decarbonization of this new system. Conversely, the Technology-First (TECH-F) scenario was inspired by DIV-strategies. This pathway takes into account the current mobility trends and does not propose fundamental changes of the mobility system. Therefore, it focuses “first” on technological innovations and considers that low carbon technologies will provide an adequate solution for attaining climate mitigation objectives. The MOB-F and TECH-F scenarios are developed below.

Assumptions common to MOB-F and TECH-F scenarios

Demographic and economic
An increasing and aging population are the two main characteristics of the changing demographic structure. INSEE, the National Statistics Institute, forecasts that the French population living on the European continent will grow from 65 million in 2010, to stabilize at around 74 million people in 2050. In addition, senior citizens (people over 65) are expected to represent almost one third of the total population. Said differently the 9 million additional people in 2050 compared to 2010 will be seniors. As for macroeconomic prospects, it is assumed that average economic growth remains low during the period at 1.5% per year. At the same time, the disposable income of households will increase by 0.3% per year.

Population centres and territorial distribution
The development of housing and the population’s distribution over the territory is a key element to consider when thinking about the evolution of future mobility.
In 2010, the population’s distribution was as follows: one quarter of the population lived in metropolitan areas and three quarters lived in non-metropolitan areas, of which half lived in suburban areas and the other half in rural areas. Current social and economic policies support the development of strong regional cities that attract people and the bulk of jobs. In both scenarios we assume that population growth in metropolitan areas will follow the average population growth estimated by INSEE, which is 14% over the period, and we assume that major changes will occur within non-metropolitan areas, with a significant share of the rural population moving into suburban areas. The suburban population is expected to increase by 60% during the 2010-2050 period and the rural population to decrease by one third down to about 16 million. However, the scenarios offer very contrasting visions of the future organization of living places and land use.

Infrastructure and urban planning
Regarding infrastructure and the transformation of the built environment, the two scenarios share a common vision of the development of rail infrastructure for both high-speed trains and regional networks and the development of bus transport. This development will continue to capture some of the market share held by domestic air travel. However, the scenarios differ in the relative priority of each transport mode in urban spaces.

Mobility services
As far as social practices for mobility is concerned, we assume a ramping up of the recent trend towards the development of mobility services linked to progress in Information and Communications Technology (ICT). Mobility services will increase in several forms for both local and long distance travel, including collaborative mobility and car sharing. These services will modify the use of transport modes.

Vehicles
Hybrid and electric vehicles are expected to strongly penetrate the market as key alternative technologies to petrol and diesel fueled cars. Both scenarios anticipate significant energy efficiency gains for vehicles, but different levels of technical progress. The expansion of gas-powered vehicles (both LDVs and buses) occurs under both scenarios but results in different final market shares in 2050. They also both anticipate that level 4 autonomous vehicles will be available and will progressively increase their market share, but with differing levels and types of usages. Finally, the scenarios assume similar evolutions of the final price of energy, including a carbon pricing component that reaches €360/tCO₂ in 2050 and similar technology costs.

Energy system
Relying on electricity as an energy carrier is an important means of decarbonizing the transport sector, as the already low carbon content of electricity is assumed to decrease further by a factor of 3 by 2050 - from 65 gCO₂/kWh down to 22 gCO₂/kWh. This reduction is due to the high penetration of renewables, which is consistent with the ADEME energy mix scenario. In addition, both scenarios consider that second and third generation liquid biofuels could be developed by 2050 and will reduce the carbon content of biofuels by a factor of 3, from 36 gCO₂/MJ in 2010 to 14 gCO₂/MJ in 2050. Liquid biofuels are considered as alternatives to petroleum-based fuels in both scenarios, but they anticipate different levels of penetration. Moreover, biogas plays a major role in both scenarios by decarbonizing natural gas by 50%, but with different level of generation.

MOB-F scenario – structural transformations change the mobility needs and the related modal structure

Spatial organization and lifestyles
Beyond the general trend of a rural exodus and a rapid increase in the suburban population over the coming decades, the MOB-F scenario anticipates that people will aim to reduce their constrained mobility, and will therefore enjoy the structural transformations of urban areas that lead in this direction. In practice, people want compact cities (10, 2010), which means high-density areas where living areas and other facilities are in close proximity, enabled by ambitious urban planning, land use policies.

3 Reminder: in this report, metropolitan areas include Paris, Departments of the Paris region 92-93-94 and all city centers of “urban poles” with more than 100 khab in 2008. The smallest city center included is the city of Montbéliard.
4 Value computed during the previous DDPP exercise considering all sectors of the economy. In comparison, the French “Quinet commission” estimated 219 €/tCO₂ in 2050.
and the support of the circular economy. A change to conventional urban planning is anticipated, moving away from urban sprawl with different areas having different specializations. A new urban paradigm is forecast, involving the development of areas with a mix of different functional spaces to answer to people’s needs in terms of constrained and non-constrained activities. Overall, we estimate that these transformations, which focus on suburban areas, could reduce the distances between activities by an average of 10% for constrained mobility and by 5% for non-constrained.5

Moreover, MOB-F scenario considers that remote activities, such as teleworking, e-commerce and the digitalization of administrative procedures, will reach a significant level. We assume that teleworking could have an impact on around 7 million executive employees in 2050 of one day per week.6 In addition, e-commerce could replace the need for one third of shopping trips to hypermarkets, while the dematerialization of administrative procedures could save 90% of all trips for administrative purposes.

Finally, the MOB-F scenario includes a shift in leisure practices. First, the development of liveable cities will change the need for travel for the purposes of non-constrained leisure. It is also estimated that the social dialog will result in changes to holiday and leisure trips, focusing on quality rather than quantity. People may thus be able to take longer holidays, rather than several shorter periods. We assume that this could generate a reduction in international air travel (distances over 3000 km). In addition, this scenario assumes that senior citizens will not have greater access to mobility in future. This is based on the fact that certain physical barriers associated with old age tend to reduce the need for mobility, and that these barriers will not be overcome by the new services. Therefore the individual mobility of senior citizens will remain stable between 2010 and 2050 at around 10,000 pkm/cap.

**Infrastructure and built environment**

In addition, in metropolitan and suburban areas, urban spaces will be re-orientated towards soft and low carbon transport modes with extension of pedestrian zones, additional lanes reserved for bicycles and buses, development of zero emission zones and harmonization of speeds between modes.7 The space for cars in cities, including for parking, will be reduced to promote the expansion of other transport modes. Furthermore, no new airport capacity building will be carried out, while existing capacities will not be further developed due to the reduction of domestic and international air travel. This governmental decision is based on the fact that “large transport infrastructure projects” are becoming less and less acceptable to the population.

**Mobility services**

The development of Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs) will support the development of a collaborative mobility economy and will completely change the way different transport modes are used. Indeed, ICTs will improve the interoperability between all modes (walking, biking service, bus, tram, train, carsharing, carpooling, …). This will transform the mobility chain and encourage people to use different transport modes, improving their door-to-door mobility in terms of time, cost, distance and other parameters. In addition, there is a decline in the number of young people obtaining driving licences (particularly in urban centres) and the MOB-F scenario assumes there will be a paradigm shift in terms of individual mobility, towards an emphasis on the mobility service itself and the usage of the vehicle, rather than on vehicle ownership. This development will enable the optimization of existing public services and we assume that carsharing could increase occupancy rates for long distance mobility from 1.4 to 1.57, and for local mobility in suburban areas from 1.36 to 1.51 passengers per car.8 In addition, the MOB-F scenario considers that there will be a development of public transportation services in regional cities and their suburbs. The level of services in these areas could be aligned with the level of services existing in the suburbs of Paris for the same population density. Furthermore, new public mobility

---

5 These numbers have been estimated in order to reduce by 25% the difference between the distance travelled by the metropolitan population and suburban population. In 2010, the distances travelled for constrained and non constrained activities for the metropolitan population was around 40% lower. We assume that urban planning oriented towards “compact cities” could result in reducing this difference from 40% to 30%.

6 7 million executive employees represent 10% of executive employees in intermediate companies, 30% of executive employees in large companies and all executive employees in the public administrations according to other analysis made by the Shift Project.

7 Note: it is estimated that 20% of the roads in Paris have a 30 km/h speed limit, and a target of 30% by 2020 has been set; while in Grenoble for instance it is estimated that 89% of roads are already limited at 30 km/h.

8 According to other analysis made by the Shift Project (Voluntarist scenario) and the CIRED (Ecopa scenario)
services based on autonomous vehicles and operated by public transport stakeholders could develop a complementary offer of public transportation in low-density areas where driver-led public transport is economically inefficient.

**Vehicles**

The energy efficiency of car mobility will significantly improve due to the better adaptation of vehicles to suit different travel types, improved motor efficiency, increased automation, smaller and lighter vehicles on average, and the optimization of onboard energy consumption. The energy efficiency of new internal combustion engine cars will improve by 20% from 1.87 MJ/km in 2010 to 1.49 MJ/pkm in 2050, which equates to a consumption of about 4.5 litres per 100 km in 2050. MOB-F scenario assumes that the majority of vehicles will be PHEVs and BEVs by 2050, and that the efficiency of electric cars will remain stable at around 0.2 kWh/km. Regarding trains and buses, the operating rail fleet will be entirely renewed by 2050 with the electrification of the major part of the secondary network, while the bus fleet will be renewed twice before 2050. In dense metropolitan areas, public health and air quality issues will encourage a strong market penetration of fully electric and natural gas buses pushed by public procurement. Finally, the air fleet will also be renewed and a set of optimizing measures will be implemented regarding aircraft weight, travel routes and engines, which will result in a 15% reduction in general unitary energy consumption. However, no major breakthroughs are anticipated and the fleet fully remains with combustion engines.

**Energy system**

Vehicle fleet transformations will induce structural changes in energy demand that will require a corresponding adjustment of fuel supply capacities. The shift towards an almost fully electrified rail network and electric vehicles entails a sizable increase in the electricity demand that will need to be supplied by the network and distributed through many charging stations. The growing demand for natural gas due to an increase in natural gas vehicles (NGVs) will be mainly restricted to professionals and public transport, which simplifies the problem of public gas stations. To avoid competition with agricultural production, the penetration of liquid biofuels will remain limited at around 4 Mtep in total.9

**TECH-F scenario – tendancial growing demand pushes the development of improved low carbon technologies**

**Spatial organization and lifestyles**

The TECH-F scenario assumes that the development of urban areas will continue with the spatial segregation of activities and the development of rapid mass transit systems, enabling people to live further away from places of work and other activities. The current trend of urban sprawl will therefore continue and the distances between activities will increase, so that average local mobility for suburban areas will remain higher than in metropolitan areas (in 2010, the distance travelled was about 40% lower in metropolitan areas).

However, the TECH-F scenario highlights specific changes in the demand for leisure. Indeed, the scenario assumes that there will be an increase in leisure travel among young people and senior citizens. In recent times, the development of ICTs has enabled the development of a new international network among young people. With the combined development of low cost transport modes, the mobility of the younger generation is increasing for leisure purposes. In parallel, we assume that senior citizens will have better access to mobility in future. The development of new adapted mobility services and private autonomous vehicles, along with an increase in living standards, will mean that mobility among senior citizens is expected to increase significantly, particularly for leisure trips.

In this scenario, remote working and e-commerce do not expand significantly and therefore have a negligible overall effect.

**Infrastructure and built environment**

The TECH-F scenario forecasts a future where the place of the car does not change. It is only the methods of motorization that are transformed. As far as local mobility is concerned, there will be no significant reallocation of urban spaces between transport modes through new investment trends such as the promotion of non-motorized transport modes or the reduction

---

9 A generation potential of 3 Mtep had been estimated by ADEME in the exercise of 2013.
of traffic in urban and suburban areas. In general, cars—including autonomous vehicles—will remain the dominant transport mode, with no real modal shifts for local mobility.

**Mobility services**

The development of private autonomous vehicles will completely change the concept of time management. Indeed, people will be able to work while travelling in their cars, or enjoy leisure time. This would allow people to live further and further away from city centers to enjoy a rural family life, and although this would mean an increase in constrained mobility, it would not have the negative connotations of "lost time". Finally, long distance non-constrained mobility will keep increasing. And while mobility services develop due to ICT progress, together with carsharing for both local and long distance travel, it nevertheless has a limited effect on car occupancy rates and only manages to maintain the average at the same level of around 1.4 passengers per car.

**Vehicles**

While anticipating the maintenance of the dominance of individual LDVs, the TECH-F scenario assumes that technological progress will solve all problems. Compared to MOB-F, this scenario takes into account a stronger expansion of alternative fuels. PHEVs and BEVs are expected to significantly penetrate the market as key alternatives to petroleum-fueled LDVs. Furthermore, natural gas will extend into the private car market, obtaining the oriented towards better public transport and non-motorized services same share as it does in the bus market of around 25%. According to this scenario a proportion of private car and bus fleets will continue to be based on liquid-fuel internal combustion engines with biofuel compatibility (flex fuel vehicles). Regarding gains in vehicle energy efficiencies, the TECH-F scenario assumes an efficiency improvement of about 30% for new internal combustion engine cars. For other transport modes – buses, trains and aircraft – the TECH-F scenario estimates a doubling of the gains obtained in the previous scenario, with 30% for buses, 10% for trains and 30% for aircraft.\(^\text{10} \text{11}\)

The diversification of vehicle engines translates into a diversification of fuel types, with a strong penetration of liquid and gaseous biofuels and natural gas compared to the MOB-F scenario. Furthermore, we assume that a generation of around 6 Mtep of liquid biofuel will be feasible in 2050.\(^\text{12}\)

---

**Table 3. Summary of MOB-F and TECH-F scenarios main assumptions**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Determinants</th>
<th>Transformations in MOB-F</th>
<th>Transformations in TECH-F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Demographic &amp; economic changes</td>
<td>Increasing and aging population</td>
<td>- Same -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population centres and territorial distribution</td>
<td>Concentration of population in suburban areas</td>
<td>- Same -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lifestyles and mobility services</td>
<td>Development of teleactivities</td>
<td>Large increase in leisure trips among young and old population</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Improved public transport services</td>
<td>New mobility services adapted for senior citizens</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ICTs support a modal fragmentation of the mobility chain</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Air long distance behaviors change</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infrastructures and urban planning</td>
<td>New urban paradigm reorganizing proximity between living places</td>
<td>Continuing urban sprawl and spatial segregation of activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>New transport planning oriented towards better public transport and non-motorized services</td>
<td>Continuing development of rapid mass transit systems and transport planning oriented towards car mobility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle transformations</td>
<td>Electric cars penetration in car stock</td>
<td>Car stock is composed by different motorization:</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Air technological gains around 15%</td>
<td>gas, electric, liquid fuels using mostly biofuels</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Penetration of autonomous vehicles</td>
<td>Penetration of autonomous vehicles</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Energy system transformations</td>
<td>Decarbonization of electricity by 3 and improved biofuels</td>
<td>- Same -</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Liquid biofuel generation is about 4Mtep</td>
<td>Liquid biofuel generation is about 6 Mtep</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

\(^\text{10}\) Ademe estimated a potential gain of 15% in the exercise of 2013.  
\(^\text{11}\) IEA estimated in the exercise, Energy Technology Perspectives in 2008, a potential gain of 50% for buses regarding the Blue Map and 30% for aircraft regarding the baseline scenario.  
\(^\text{12}\) A generation potential of 8 Mtep had been estimated by ANCRE in 2013.
Results – Evolution of emission drivers and related transformations for MOB-F and TECH-F scenarios

This section highlights some of the key quantitative indicators for understanding the changes in mobility-derived emissions that occur under each scenario. These quantitative indicators change due to the combined effects of the social and technical transformations associated with the main determinants described in the previous section. The starting point and calibration of our scenarios at the year 2010 is based on two main sources: the 2008 transport survey (ENTD 2008, INSEE) and the 2010 transport account (CGDD). Additional modelling has been made through IMMOVE, a mobility model developed by EDF R&D.

**MOB-F Scenario**

There is a decrease in the annual individual distance travelled for constrained and non-constrained mobility in metropolitan and non-metropolitan areas (See Figure 4). The average annual constrained mobility distance travelled will decrease on average by 27%, reaching around 4,200 pkm/cap by 2050 for metropolitan and non-metropolitan citizens. The overall decrease in constrained mobility is mainly due to the increasing proportion of senior citizens in society, who have less need for constrained mobility, and also to the development of remote working and e-commerce. The convergence of constrained mobility for metropolitan and non-metropolitan citizens derives from a higher decrease for non-metropolitans. This sharper decrease is mainly due to the compactness of suburban zones in non-metropolitan areas, an effect that is amplified by the rural exodus.\(^{13}\)

13 The compactness of suburban zones in non-metropolitan areas reduces distances travelled for local constrained mobility by 10% and local non-constrained mobility by 5%. This reduces the difference between local mobility of metropolitan population and local mobility of suburban population by 25%.

**Figure 4.** Annual individual distance travelled for constrained and non-constrained mobility
There will also be a decrease of 13% in the average yearly distance travelled for non-constrained mobility, but there will be a divergence by 2050 between metropolitan and non-metropolitan citizens. There will be a larger decrease in non-constrained mobility for metropolitan citizens compared to non-metropolitans, the former decreasing on average by 27%, reaching about 6,000 pkm/year by 2050. This larger decrease is mainly due to the reduction of international air travel by 2050, while the compactness of suburban areas will also reduce non-constrained distances. The fact that the overall decrease of non-constrained mobility is not as pronounced as the decrease of constrained mobility is due to the increasing proportion of senior citizens in society.

This leads to an 8% reduction in total mobility, from 840 Gpkm to 772 Gpkm, due to a 19% reduction in individual mobility demand, from 13,800 pkm/cap to 11,200 pkm/cap, as discussed above. The main factors behind this reduction in average individual demand are: listed in Table 4.

**Table 4. Factors causing total demand reduction**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ageing population</th>
<th>5%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Teleactivities</td>
<td>4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Compaction of suburban zones</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The distance travelled by car will reduce by 27%, the distance travelled by public transportation (rail and bus) will increase by 61%, and the distance travelled by non-motorized modes will more than triple (See Figure 5).

Constrained mobility remains largely car-based by 2050. However, there is a significant decline in car usage and an increase in non-motorized trips (NMT). This NMT increase stems from the major reorganizations taking place in cities, such as the higher priority given to soft transport modes and the changing attitudes towards such mobility, which encourage its development for short distance travel. Indeed, the MOB-F scenario assumes that about 75% of very short trips below 3 km could be shifted away from cars towards NMT or public transport by 2050.

Regarding non-constrained mobility, the scenario anticipates a significant increase in public transportation modes whereas domestic air travel will almost disappear and international air travel will decrease. The large increase of public transportation for non-constrained mobility is mainly due to the improvement of public transport in non-metropolitan suburbs and the rural exodus.

The decision to build 3,000 km of high-speed train and to stop all new airport construction will be key to changing modal choices for domestic long distance travel. Moreover, the reduction of international air travel is mainly due to the assumption made for leisure trips over 3,000 km, which corresponds to about 50% demand reduction.

**Figure 5. Constrained and non-constrained individual mobility by modes**

---

14 The MOB-F scenario considers that changes in leisure preferences from quantity to quality, which will also be supported by a doubling of ticket prices, will reduce international air travel over 3,000 km by 50%. Indeed, based on 2010 mobility data, metropolitan citizens travelled about twice the distance of non-metropolitan citizens in terms of long distance flights (around 2,000 pkm/cap).

15 According to other analysis made by the ECOPA project. In our case, a metropolitan citizen will increase his or her NMT-mobility from 600m to 2.2 km, while a non-metropolitan citizen will increase such mobility from 300 m to 1.9 km on average per day for constrained mobility.

16 A non-metropolitan citizen will double his or her public transport-mobility from 3.4 to 6.3 km on average per day for non-constrained travel.
The car fleet will reduce considerably from 31 million to 22 million vehicles and electric motorization, including plug-in hybrids and fully electric vehicles, will increase from virtually nothing to reach over 50% of the car stock and two thirds of new sales by 2050 (See Figure 6).

The MOB-F scenario assumes that the development of new collaborative mobility services will require a dedicated share of the car fleet, which could result in a one third reduction in the size of the car fleet. In addition, the scenario assumes that PHEVs and BEVs will successfully penetrate the market due to a reduction in the purchase price of such vehicles, along with an increase in the purchase price of liquid fossil fuel cars. In total, the share of disposable income dedicated to car mobility reduces over the period even if the average price of car mobility (eur/pkm) increases over the same period. This results from the modal shift away from car trips, along with the increase of disposable income.

The total energy consumed by motorized modes plunges over the period from 1.2 to 0.5 EJ. Oil represents 51% of the total energy consumed by motorized modes in 2050, while electricity and liquid biofuels have increased their shares (See Figure 7).

This reduction of energy consumption and its redistribution among alternative energy carriers derives from the reduction in demand, changes in the modal structure, the increased car occupancy rate and the energy efficiency gains made through technological progress. The overall average individual energy consumption per kilometer travelled decreases from 1.4 MJ/pkm in 2010 to 0.6 MJ/pkm in 2050, a reduction of about 60%. Second generation biofuels make up 37% of the blended liquid fuel consumed by road transport.

Figure 7. MOB-F Scenario - Final energy consumption

**Figure 6. MOB-F Scenario - Car fleet and sales**

*“Oil” means thermal motorization fueled by liquids (gasoline, diesel, liquid biofuels); “Gas” means thermal motorization fueled by gas (natural gas, biogas)*
In addition, we note that the large development of electric cars will entail a number of specific issues in terms of electricity distribution for cars and the network. The MOB-F scenario considers that the electricity needed for car mobility will reach about 25 TWh.

**TECH-F Scenario**

Annual individual distance travelled for constrained mobility slightly decreases, while it increases for non-constrained mobility in metropolitan and non-metropolitan areas (See Figure 8).

On the one hand, the average annual constrained mobility distance travelled will decrease on average by 6%, reaching around 5,000 pkm/cap by 2050 for metropolitan and non-metropolitan citizens. On the other hand, the average annual non-constrained mobility distance travelled will increase on average by 11%, reaching around 9,000 pkm/cap by 2050 for metropolitan and non-metropolitan citizens. These two trends are mainly due to the assumptions made on individual mobility for people under 65 and seniors, over 65. The increase of non-constrained mobility derives from a combination of transformations including the hypermobility of young people and the development of young international network, new access to mobility for seniors thanks to new adapted services and autonomous vehicle and the general enrichment of the population. In addition, we can notice that even if the individual mobility increases for both age classes, population aging and distribution of the population have a structural decreasing effect. In total, average individual mobility just increases by 4%.

The TECH-F scenario does not consider that any specific transformations will have an impact on constrained or non-constrained mobility or the modal structure. Car mobility represents around 70% of the total pkm.

Thus, we observed that constrained individual mobility slightly decreases by 7% and non-constrained individual mobility slightly increases by 7% over the period. This is due solely to changes in the demographic structure and the spatial distribution of the population.

We consider that individual mobility increases for people under 65 by 5% for local mobility and +10% for long distance mobility, while for people over 65, it increases by 15% for local mobility and +30% for long distance mobility. These estimates have been made in comparison with a publication of CGDD about demand projection by 2050.

**Figure 8.** Annual individual distance travelled for constrained and non-constrained mobility
The car fleet will increase slightly from 31 to 34 million vehicles, while liquid fossil fuel cars will represent only 17% of the fleet by 2050. Alternative motorization, including electric cars and compressed natural gas (CNG) cars, will increase significantly over the period (See Figure 9).

CNG cars will penetrate the market, representing about 25% of the fleet and 25% of sales by 2050. However, at around 60%, PHEV and BEV will represent the main share of the vehicle fleet and sales. The TECH-F scenario assumes that CNG cars will hold a market share even if car and fuel prices are not as low as electric cars by 2050. Indeed, we estimated regarding increase in disposable income and other potential social trends, that CNG cars could penetrate the market.

The total energy consumed by motorized modes decreases from 1.2 to 0.8 EJ. Oil represents only 13% of the total energy consumed by motorized modes in 2050, liquid and gaseous biofuels represent almost half of the total energy, and electricity around a quarter (See Figure 10).

This reduction of energy consumption is solely based on bold assumptions regarding the technological progress on efficiency for the different transport modes. While the increase of biofuels is again based on bold technological assumptions regarding the capacity to produce 0.3 EJ of liquid biofuels (about 6 Mtep) and 0.1 EJ of gaseous biofuels (about 2 Mtep).

In addition, we noted that major developments in electric and CNG car mobility will result in specific challenges in terms of energy distribution. Indeed, the TECH-F scenario assumes that car mobility will be responsible for the consumption of around 50 TWh of electricity and about 0.21 EJ of pipeline gas, mix of natural gas and biogas. Therefore, the need for charging stations and gas refueling stations will be very important and will require investment.
Scenario comparison

These two scenarios show contrasting decarbonization strategies that illustrate the possible role of existing levers for the decarbonization of passenger transportation in France, to obtain a CO₂ reduction of about 78%, from 88 MtCO₂ down to 20 MtCO₂ in 2050 (See Figure 11). However, the pathways taken by these two decarbonization strategies have a different approach. The MOB-F scenario highlights the role of fundamental transformations in society for the reduction of individual mobility and the shift to soft modes. In comparison, the TECH-F scenario emphasizes the role of energy diversification with fundamental transformations towards low carbon energy carriers.

The two strategies vary according to the demand variation and the importance of public transport and soft mobility (PT + NMT, Figure 12) and according to the share of non-fossil fuels in final energy and the related energy carbon intensities (Figure 13).

The demand structure varies more in the MOB-F scenario than in the TECH-F scenario with a reduction in individual demand and a higher market penetration of PT + NMT mobility. In addition, passenger transportation consumes more energy in the TECH-F scenario and also consumes more energy from non-fossil fuels compared to the MOB-F scenario in 2050.
Carbon content transition will benefit an average individual in terms of costs, distances and time

None of the transformations described in these scenarios will translate into higher costs for an average household and will even represent a smaller share of disposable income (See Table 5). In addition, in the MOB-F-scenario we observe that the transition scenario will largely benefit individuals through a reduction in travel distances and with a modal shift away from the car towards public transport and soft modes, thus being of benefit in terms of transport time for constrained activities.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>MOB-F Scenario</th>
<th>TECH-F Scenario</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Year</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>2050</td>
<td>2050</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annual mobility expenditures (% disposable income)</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>11%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constrained daily distance (km/day/cap)</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Constrained daily travelling time (min/day/cap)</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>35</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

18 The calculation is based on serving the electricity demand with both normal 3 kW chargers (90%) and 22 kW fast chargers (10%) and an annual load factor of 15%.

Electric mobility is key for the future

In both scenarios, the development of electric vehicles is crucial, with PHEVs and BEVs representing about 55-60% of the car fleet in 2050, which means around 5-9 million in 2030 and 12-22 million in 2050, representing about 25-50 TWh in terms of electricity consumption, so about 10% of the electricity generation in 2010. Thus, both scenarios forecast that a high development of electric cars will require a massive roll-out of charging points all over the country: at homes, workplaces and in other public spaces, reaching about 3.4 to 4.8 million charging stations in 2030, and 6.3 to 9.6 million in 2050.18
Conclusion and policy discussion

This section discusses the main conclusions linked to the deep decarbonization scenarios for France developed in the present study and highlight some key elements for achieving the national decarbonization of the passenger mobility.

Mobility should not be considered only as a response to individual choices. Individual preferences are influenced and constrained by the reality of the society in which people live.

The decarbonization of passenger mobility focuses the debate around the future organization of living spaces and mobility functions for people

The debate around the development of low carbon mobility often focuses on the technical debate about low carbon technologies, which is reflected in most decarbonization strategies. Indeed, all Nationally Determined Contributions aiming to introduce transport mitigation measures give more considerations to the electrification of transport and to the improvement of fuel economy, but the question of the needs and functions of mobility is most of time non addressed. Low carbon technologies are a very important aspect in the decarbonization of sectors, but thinking about the rationales behind mobility is important too.

Mobility is not a goal in itself, but a way to gain access to an activity, and transportation vehicles are just mobility tools providing different services. The development of low carbon mobility and decarbonization strategies should start by looking at the organization of living spaces (work, homes, schools, shopping, leisure places...) and individual preferences for housing. Related issues, such as urban planning, disruptive technologies, infrastructure, public transport investment and demographic and economic perspectives, could then be added into this structural debate. For example, senior citizens will represent a third of the population in 2050, how will their activities change? And, if the young population is more internationally-connected than ever before, how will this impact their long distance mobility?

The end of gasoline and diesel cars

In July 2017, Nicolas Hulot, Minister for the Ecological and Solidarity Transition, announced that a target to reach "zero sales of diesel and gasoline cars by 2040" was part of the government’s new Climate Action Plan. Other European countries have established similar objectives. For example, the Netherlands have introduced a target of “zero sales of thermal combustion engines (gasoline, diesel, GPL) from 2035”, while Norway aims to achieve the same in 2025.

At the beginning of this study, Nicolas Hulot had not yet taken on this ministerial appointment and the corresponding Action Plan had not been published. We did not therefore integrate this perspective into our scenarios and our scenarios suggest that liquid fossil fuel cars will make up between 25% and 50% of new car sales in 2040.

However, we decided to integrate this target into a short additional simulation to highlight the added value of this measure. If we consider that from 2040 the sales of liquid fossil fuel cars shift proportionally to BEVs and PHEVs, then total carbon emissions in 2050 will be reduced by 88%, down to 11 MtCO₂, and by 82% down to 16 MtCO₂ in the MOB-F and TECH-F scenarios respectively.¹⁹

The interesting result revealed by this calculation is that the development of gas-powered vehicles in the TECH-F scenario create a new source of emissions by

¹⁹ It should be noted that these calculations have been carried out just to highlight the impact of phasing out oil-based cars by 2050. We did not conduct a deep analysis of the other impacts or of the consistency of this measure.
2050, which represents about 6 MtCO₂ even with 50% biogas, while in the MOB-F scenario by 2050, we just find BEV or PHEV vehicles. In addition, the constraint on liquid biofuels generation is different in the two scenarios, so that the share of liquid biofuels in blended fuels for cars and aircraft evolves differently.

This target has a direct quantitative effect on car emissions, but it also raises a lot of questions and challenges like for example:

• How can we ensure the rapid development of the electricity infrastructure: low carbon generation, network distribution and electric charging infrastructure?
• How will people in metropolitan and non-metropolitan areas use their cars and how will BEVs and PHEVs address their needs?

Key discussion elements

In this section we analyze several of the key determinants of mobility that have an impact on emissions drivers. Many complex questions emerged as a result, which could be the topics of further analysis. This subsection highlights some key discussion points and potential topics for further research.

Both scenarios anticipate a large increase in the use of electric vehicles, which raises a number of important questions. The current vehicle incentive scheme, which is based on bonus scrapping premiums for private individuals, on specific tax reductions for companies, and on direct procurement for public stakeholders, does not enable a sufficiently rapid increase in the electric car fleet. Based on our analysis, we estimates that about 5-9 million PHEVs and BEVs will be on our roads by 2030, when SDMP estimated 4.4 million vehicles by 2030. Perhaps the target of “zero gasoline and diesel sales” from 2040 will support this need for more rapid development. In addition, discussions should also begin on the recycling of future car batteries and on the socially, economically and environmentally sustainable production of such batteries. Non-economic policies could also be key determinants in the evolution of the car fleet towards more efficient, low carbon, hybrid and electric technologies, such as the introduction of more low emission and low speed zones, and areas where motorized vehicles are banned entirely.

Other points to consider are related to the generation and distribution infrastructure. Indeed, SDMP estimated that about 7 million charging points will be needed by 2030, which is in line with the rapid development of charging stations in the MOB-F and TECH-F scenarios, which forecast that around 4 million charging points will be needed by 2030. However, we are currently very far from this situation, as of December 2015 there were only around 10,000 public charging points in France. This could prove to be a stumbling block in future. We do not currently know which charging infrastructure model will be the most suitable. Do we need large numbers of public charging stations across the entire country, or do we only need home chargers and not as many public ones?

In addition, the development of the charging infrastructure should be carefully analyzed to assess potential grid stabilization issues, distribution needs and power generation overcapacity. Studies into charge demand management should be carried out together with the development of the infrastructure. The electrification of car mobility will represent an additional electricity consumption of between 25 and 50 TWh, which brings to a total electricity consumption for passenger transport sector of between 40 and 60 TWh in 2050. All of these elements need to be fully described and analyzed in a broader perspective of electricity use and the development of the electricity system.

The impact of teleworking requires further analysis. The SDMP’s target of 10% of teleworking days by 2030 is not clear in terms of who will be affected and how it will happen. The MOB-F scenario estimates that this could represent about 7 million people, one day per week. However, what is the real potential of teleworking in companies? How will people really use their teleworking time? If people teleworks for two half-days but still go to work each day, then this would have a debatable effect. The government, together with companies, should carry out further detailed studies in this area.

The transformation of suburban zones at the peripheries of the largest metropolitan areas will concentrate more than 50% of the population and therefore be a focus for passenger mobility policies. Considering the rapid population growth of suburban zones, these areas should be the focus of political attention regarding sustainable urban and transport planning in future. How can densification be encouraged in these areas along with
the promotion of a better mix of activities located near housing (land use planning, circular economy, property market regulation to avoid gentrification...)? How can we rethink the roles of transport modes in these areas to favour low carbon mobility (allocation of spaces between different transport modes, the role of the car in the design of cities...)? How can we tackle the problem of the increase in the artificialization of land?

Other key technological and related political discussions should emerge. This could concern, for example, the role of biofuels, the development of low carbon air transport and the regulation of autonomous vehicles:

- Sustainable liquid and gaseous biofuel generation should be supported but not allowed to compete with arable land. What is the potential of the sustainable development of biofuels in France with different technologies?
- The transition in air transport is very important for the specific situation in France and the 2 million French citizens living in overseas departments and territories, for whom air transport is essential. In our scenarios, we estimate that air transport will represent the half of CO₂ emissions in 2050 with about 10 MtCO₂. The development of air traffic, aircraft technologies and biokerosene capacities needs to be further investigated in order to better understand how to mitigate emissions from air transport.
- As examined in our scenarios, autonomous vehicles are a disruptive technology that could have very different impacts on mobility depending on how we regulate and support the development of the technology. What can we do to encourage the sustainable development of the autonomous vehicle?

Beyond “deep decarbonization” and towards “carbon neutrality” – the need to raise the level of ambition

The two deep decarbonization pathways developed in this study forecasted significant reductions of CO₂ emissions from passenger mobility, down to 20 MtCO₂ by 2050 and even lower if we take onboard the objective of zero sales of petrol and diesel cars from 2040. These figures seem to be in line with the estimated objectives in the SNBC of around 42 MtCO₂ of total transport emissions by 2050.20

Previous DDPP studies have shown that some pathways introduce “dependency and irreversibility” (DDPP, 2015). To avoid lock-in situations, policy-makers must track the ongoing transformations by using some key quantitative indicators to inform them on the policy implications. This dynamic form of management should support the selection at the right moment of “policies which preserve future freedom of choice, yielding high option-value” (DDPP, 2015). For this purpose, we need more indicators to track the evolution of the transformations of the main determinants of mobility and related emissions drivers. Our methodology attempts to contribute towards these objectives (See proposed indicators in Annex).

Moreover, to obtain a “carbon neutral” economy, passenger mobility could be an important contributor to emissions reduction. A pathway combining MOB-F and TECH-F mitigation options could lead to a deeper decarbonized future. However, all measures and transformations considered are not independent and the development of an adapted scenario for carbon neutrality would need further analysis to test the consistency of all assumptions based on the DDPP methodology.

20 Passenger mobility emissions represented about two third of total transport emissions in 2010.
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**A1. National energy consumption and related emissions**

1.a Emission drivers

- Population [M inhab.]
- Mobility [pkm/cap]
- Energy intensity [MJ/pkm]
- Carbon intensity [gCO2/MJ]

1.b CO2 emissions

- Biogas
- Liquid biofuels
- Electricity
- Natural gas
- Oil

1.c Carbon content of energy

1.d Final energy consumption

- Biogas
- Liquid biofuels
- Electricity
- Natural gas
- Oil

**A2. The pillars of decarbonization**

Pillar 1: Energy efficiency

- Individual Mobility [000s pkm/cap]
- Energy intensity [MJ/pkm]

Pillar 2: Decarbonization of electricity and fuels

- Carbon content of electricity [gCO2/kWh]
- Biofuel in blended fuels [%]

Pillar 3: Shifting to low carbon fuels

- Non fossil fuel energy [%]

**A3. Population and mobility**

3.a Metropolitan and non-metropolitan population

3.b Constrained and non-constrained mobility

* Population size does not count people under 6 years old. In 2010, the under 6 years old population amounted to about 5 Million and this size is not expected to change in 2050. Metropolitan areas integrates: Paris, Department 92, 93, 94 and the 50 first city centers of France as displayed in Figure 1 of the first part.
A4. Modal structure

4.a Metropolitan

6000 pkm/cap/year

2010 2050

Constrained Non-constrained

PM = Private Mobility (car and 2W), NMT = Non-motorized transport (walking, biking...), PT = Public transport (bus and rail)

A5. Mobility indicators

5.a Indicators for constrained mobility

20 % of 2010

Daily time

Distance

Budget

Time does not integrate constrained air travel time

2050 2040 2030 2020 2010

Non-constrained Constrained

5.b Transport budget

25 % disposable income

2050 2040 2030 2020 2010

Non-constrained Constrained

A6. Car mobility

6.a Car stock

40 Millions vehicles

2010 2020 2030 2040 2050

100 %

PHEV BEV Gas Oil

6.b Car sales and related emissions

Emissions 160 wtw gCO₂/km**

2010 2020 2030 2040 2050

0.0 0.5 1.0 1.5 2.0

Million vehicles sold / year

PHEV BEV Gas Oil

* "Oil" means thermal motorization fueled by liquids (gasoline, diesel, liquid biofuels). "Gas" means thermal motorization fueled by gas (natural gas, biogas).

** Emissions of average car sales is expressed in "well-to-wheel" gCO₂ per vehicle-km travelled.
FRANCE - Technology-First (TECH-F) Scenario

A1. National energy consumption and related emissions

1.a Emission drivers

1.b CO₂ emissions

1.c Carbon content of energy

1.d Final energy consumption

A2. The pillars of decarbonization

Pillar 1
Energy efficiency

Pillar 2
Decarbonization of electricity and fuels

Pillar 3
Shifting to low carbon fuels

A3. Population and mobility

3.a Metropolitan and non-metropolitan population

3.b Constrained and non-constrained mobility

* Population size does not count people under 6 years old. In 2010, the under 6 years old population amounted to about 4 Million and this size is not expected to change in 2050.

Metropolitan areas integrates: Paris, Department 92, 93, 94 and the 50 first city centers of France as displayed in Figure 1 of the first part.
A4. Modal structure

4.a Metropolitan

6000 pkm/cap/year

2010 2050

Constrained
Non-constrained

PM = Private Mobility (car and 2W), NMT = Non-motorized transport (walking, biking...), PT = Public transport (bus and rail)

A5. Mobility indicators

5.a Indicators for constrained mobility

20 % of 2010

Daily time
Distance
Budget
Time does not integrate constrained air travel time

2010 2020 2030 2040 2050

2010 2020 2030 2040 2050

5.b Transport budget

25 % disposable income

2010 2020 2030 2040 2050

Non-constrained
Constrained

A6. Car mobility

6.a Car stock

40 Millions vehicles

2010 2020 2030 2040 2050

100 %

0

20

30
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2010 2020 2030 2040 2050

6.b Car sales and related emissions

Emissions 160 wtw gCO2/km**

21

2.5

3.0

Million vehicles sold / year

2010 2020 2030 2040 2050

Emissions

PHEV
BEV
Gas *
Oil *

** Emissions of average car sales is expressed in “well-to-wheel” gCO2 per vehicle-km travelled.

* “Oil” means thermal motorization fueled by liquids (gasoline, diesel, liquid biofuels). “Gas” means thermal motorization fueled by gas (natural gas, biogas).
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EDF R&D is the Research and Development unit of Electricité de France. Its near 2000 people are dedicated to research programs concerning each part of energy chain: uses, transport & distribution and generation. Thus, EDF R&D made efforts to better understand the behavior of final customers and has developed models based on bottom-up methodology that encompass technological, economical, societal, and political drivers of energy demand in order to enlight long-term decarbonized energy pathway. In particular, understanding mobility practices, technological roadmap of batteries and smart charging problematics in the framework of smart cities constitute an important field of study at EDF R&D.

www.edf.fr

The international research center on environment and development (Cired) was founded in 1973 by Professor Ignacy Sachs. It is today a joint venture between the French Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique (CNRS) and four other institutions (ENPC, EHESS, AgroParisTech and CIRAD). Research programs focus on the relationships between environment, natural resources and development, with focus on three key domains: energy, urban infrastructure, and agriculture and forestry — which imposes a constant dialogue between social sciences, natural sciences and engineering knowledge. To do so, the research team is pluridisciplinary, with people from diverse intellectual backgrounds, and Cired strives to preserve a good articulation between forward-looking modeling — viewed as a tool to integrate knowledge stemming from many disciplines — the economic analysis of policy tools in various institutional contexts and deliberation processes.

http://www.centre-cired.fr/

The Institute for Sustainable Development and International Relations (IDDRI) is a non-profit policy research institute based in Paris. Its objective is to determine and share the keys for analyzing and understanding strategic issues linked to sustainable development from a global perspective. IDDRI helps stakeholders in deliberating on global governance of the major issues of common interest: action to attenuate climate change, to protect biodiversity, to enhance food security and to manage urbanization, and also takes part in efforts to reframe development pathways.

www.iddri.org